

5th March 1925]

Instruction, dated 13th September 1924; if so, how many of them have suggested the opening of Muhammadan elementary schools and how many were actually opened?

A.—Some of the District Educational Officers have submitted their detailed reports. These do not include suggestions for the opening of any new schools for special classes or communities.

The Law College reorganization scheme.

* 392 Q.—MR. MUHAMMAD GHOUSE MIAN SAHIB: Will the hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state with reference to the recommendations of the committee regarding the appointment of special lecturers in the reorganization scheme of the Madras Law College—

(a) whether any test has been laid down to show as to who are specialists and who are not;

(b) whether the Advisory Committee for Education was consulted as regards the adoption of the recommendations and as regards the formation of the committee, dated 11th September 1923;

(c) in view of the recommendations of the committee as regards the permission of students of exceptional ability and industry to attend to other avocations in their spare hours whether the committee have considered the desirability of allowing unpassed F.L. students to attend B.L. classes and put in their term of attendance; if so, what the recommendations are; if not, why not;

(d) whether the committee considered any suggestion of abolishing the apprenticeship course and substitute in its place one more year of study in the Law College during which time the professors should put the students in practical touch with processual law; if so, what its recommendations are;

(e) whether the appointment of Assistant Lecturers is to be made only from the Madras Bar or from both the Madras and the Mufassal Bar;

(f) why the committee has fixed the experience of the junior practitioners to be appointed as Assistant Lecturers to below six years' standing at the Bar;

(g) supposing a practitioner of more than six years' standing is willing to be appointed as an Assistant Lecturer, whether his case is not to be considered at all;

(h) whether the rule six years' standing applies also to members of the Mufassal Bar; and

(i) whether the rule of six years' standing applies only to High Court vakils or even first-grade pleaders?

A.—(a) The attention of the hon. Member is invited to paragraph 7 of the report of the Law College Reorganization Committee and to paragraph 4 of G. O. No. 1702, Law (Education), dated the 5th November 1924, placed on the Editors' Table.

(b) No.

(c) & (d) The points were not included in the terms of reference to the Committee.

(e) From both.

(f) No such suggestion was made by the committee.

(g) Such cases will not be considered.

(h) Yes.

(i) The six years' limit applies to all applicants otherwise eligible.

[5th March 1925]

Mr. S. SATYAMURTI :—“ With reference to the answer to clause (d), may I ask why the Advisory Committee was not consulted and if it is not consulted, why the committee exists ? ”

The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. PATRO :—“ Being a matter which was considered by a special committee it was thought unnecessary to place it before the Advisory Committee.”

Urdu munshis in Secondary schools.

* 393 Q.—Mr. MUHAMMAD GHOUSE MIAN SAHIB : Will the hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state—

(a) whether the local authorities are employing Urdu munshis in Secondary or Elementary schools in answer to the recommendation of the Government in departmental orders, more especially in Muhammadan Elementary schools of the southern districts where even in Tamil areas there is a demand for Urdu and Hindustani ;

(b) if so, whether a statement showing the places where this order is given effect to will be placed on the table ; and

(c) if not, whether the Government will insist upon the orders being followed ?

A.—(a), (b) & (c) It is not clear to which order of Government the hon. Member refers.

Mr. MUHAMMAD GHOUSE MIAN SAHIB :—“ With reference to the answer to clauses (a), (b) and (c) of the question, may I ask the hon. the Minister whether there are any orders at all on the subject that in such schools Urdu munshis should be appointed ? ”

The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. PATRO :—“ It is incumbent upon the management to employ Urdu munshis in schools where there are a number of boys requiring instruction in Urdu.”

Agriculture.

Chintaladevi cattle-farm.

* 394 Q.—Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR : Will the hon. the Minister for Development be pleased to state, with reference to answer to clause (a) of question No. 1384, dated 4th December 1924, whether it was not the intention of the Government at one time to abolish the Chintaladevi farm and, if so, the reasons which led them to contemplate its abolition and also the reasons which led them to give up their original idea ?

A.—In connexion with the opening of the Cattle Breeding Farm at Hosur the Government considered the question of the Chintaladevi farm. The Government decided that the continuance of a Farm in Nellore district was necessary in the interests of the important local breed of cattle.

Mr. T. ADINARAYANA CHETTIYAR :—“ Is it the policy of the Government to have one cattle-breeding farm for each district ? ”

The hon. Diwan Bahadur T. N. SIVAGNANAM PILLAI :—“ The question has not been considered.”